



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

14

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel).

(1) original to office of ARDIN CHATTERJEE (ex 1) (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) ARDIN MARSCHEL (Ex 1) (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview 1-31-01

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending in general

Identification of prior art discussed: Fairchild (PN 5,811,231)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Message to be

relayed to concerned to the office of the Challenger that a new prior art  
ref. (PN 5,811,231) supports a prior art ref. and a response of  
provision with a new office action (non-final) is being prepared and  
will be mailed shortly. This alleviates applicants from further response at this time

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV 1-96)

09/158,982

*Ardin Marschel*

ARDIN H. MARSCHEL  
PRIMARY EXAMINER



• • • • •

<sup>1</sup> The following information was obtained from a transcript provided in view of an interview with a participant. A complete written statement of all persons presented at the interview was available to the court. It is noted by the applicant that the interview stated that he worked for truck set, for response to Covid-19 as specified in §6.

6. If a candidate is to be promoted by rank, the promotion will be based on the rank Office's ability to be promoted in writing. The personal attributes of applicants will be taken into consideration, but will not be a determining factor. The action of the Promotion and Advancement Office will be based exclusively on the written recommendation of the rank Office. No other factors will be used in making the promotion decision, stipulation, or adjustment, prior to which there is displacement or demotion.

It is the responsibility of the examiner and the patenting process to ensure the publication of a patent is of a high quality. The approach for this is based on the examination and publication of the patent. It is the examiner's responsibility to ensure that the patent is of a high quality and to correct any errors or inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patent validity.

Examiners must complete a two sheet rubric attached to interviews. (See entry form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 when a "trial" of substance has been discussed during the interview by either the attorney, the judge and filer of the blanks in red handwritten ink, using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters directed solely to the clerk or typewriter, in which interview coordination is unnecessary, provided for in Section 512.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or illegible script, or other actions of the like, are excluded from the interview coordination procedures below.

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right-hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The check list and source register cards should not be prepared to reflect interviews in a personal interview; the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant or attorney or agent at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone interview, the copy is retained to the applicant's correspondence record and a letter written prior to the next official interview notice. If a duplicate correspondence from the examiner is not timely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephone interview rather than with the next official communication.

If you are unable to locate the information you need, please contact your local library or the National Library of Medicine at 800-541-0331.

1. Total Number of the application  
 2. Name of applicant  
 3. Name of examiner  
 4. Date of interview  
 5. Type of interview (petition or *Requisitor*)  
 6. Name of participant(s) (in a court affidavit or age statement)  
 7. All evidence was reviewed and placed in a file (signature of applicant)  
 8. A identification of the claims discussed  
 9. A identification of the specific prior art discussed  
 10. A response whether or not the applicant is satisfied with the results of the search and the nature of the dependent novelty and filed a copy  
 11. The name of the applicant (in a court affidavit or age statement) and the name of the inventor (in a court affidavit or age statement) and the name of the examiner (in a court affidavit or age statement)  
 12. A signature of the examiner who conducted the interview  
 13. A name of the Patent and Trademark Office (in a court affidavit or age statement)

The first step is to select a set of  $n$  points  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  in the domain  $D$  of the function  $f$ . The second step is to compute the function values  $f(x_1), \dots, f(x_n)$  at these points. The third step is to compute the average value of the function over the domain  $D$ , which is given by the formula:

By 1999, however, the number of articles published in the field had increased to 100, and the number of references per article had increased to 10. The authors note that this increase in the number of references per article is likely a result of the increasing complexity of the field, and the need for researchers to cite a larger number of sources in order to support their arguments. They also note that the increase in the number of articles published in the field is likely a result of the increasing interest in the field, and the need for researchers to publish their findings in order to advance the field.

- As a general rule, please do not present arguments in a way that is not covered by the general arguments following applicable law.
1. do not state any point of the law which was not stated by the employment contract or is disputed
  2. do not mention any facts not discussed
  3. do not mention the law in your introduction
  4. do not state the law of the employer proposed in your introduction but have it established when these are already described in the interview summary
- Form completed by the examiner:
1. is the introduction of the candidate correct? (if the answer is "no" in your report to the examiner, this identification of arguments does not need to be displayed clearly. A general identification of the points of the arguments is sufficient. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general value of the statement is correct, independent of the formal or material correctness of the argumentation. Of course, the applicant may desire to improve a point fully, despite the fact that it will not be fully correct, might be prejudicial to the candidate.
2. is a general identification of any of the arguments correct? (if the answer is "no" in your report to the examiner, this identification of arguments does not need to be displayed clearly. A general identification of the points of the arguments is sufficient. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general value of the statement is correct, independent of the formal or material correctness of the argumentation. Of course, the applicant may desire to improve a point fully, despite the fact that it will not be fully correct, might be prejudicial to the candidate.
3. is the introduction of the candidate correct? (if the answer is "no" in your report to the examiner, this identification of arguments does not need to be displayed clearly. A general identification of the points of the arguments is sufficient. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general value of the statement is correct, independent of the formal or material correctness of the argumentation. Of course, the applicant may desire to improve a point fully, despite the fact that it will not be fully correct, might be prejudicial to the candidate.

Examples of the expected data for the two types of questions are provided in Table 2. The first row of data represents the expected data for the open-ended question. The respondent is asked to complete or generate the response without the aid of a response list. In the example, the respondent is asked to provide a response to the question, "What is the biggest problem facing your organization?" The respondent is not given a response list and therefore will be free to answer the question in any way he or she chooses. The second row of data represents the expected data for the closed question. The respondent is asked to provide a response to the question, "What is the biggest problem facing your organization?" The respondent is given a response list and therefore will be limited to choosing a response from the list. The respondent will be free to choose any response from the list and therefore will be able to provide a response to the question in any way he or she chooses.

Expenditure on the purchase of land

Appropriately, a copy of the first page of the interview record is to be placed in the file to reflect the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examinee. When the interview is the first, an attorney and a third party, in the position of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the statement is allowable for any reason, it is not the examiner's duty to send a letter setting forth, in a new version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the "identical" interview record (OK) on the paper according to the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

## Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

As a consequence, the statement of the theorem is false for any  $\lambda$  and  $k$  and hence one may now ask whether there are additional conditions that may be made of  $\lambda$  and  $k$  in the full solution with respect to a relation with the factor  $\lambda$  that was mentioned in the introduction.

2.  $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$  and  $\alpha \neq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\alpha \neq 1$

<sup>10</sup> However, if the respondent's impression is based solely on a view of the interviewee as well as an exchange of complete written statements of the material presented at the interview, a reasonable triable amount must be held by the applicant. An interview does not receive the price \$6.75 for response to OIR, which was paid for a full \$5,111.13 (3% of \$170,383.33).

§ 1.2. **Business to be transacted in writing.** All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personnel with whom an applicant or the attorney or a partner of the Patent and Trademark Office communicates is not necessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promises, stipulations, understandings or relations to which there is no agreement or consent.

The action of the Euker and Eukermark OTCs, namely the biased exclusively on the written record of the OTCs' third record is itself enough, then, to facilitate to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant, the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview with respect to the application file, which is a examinee candidate, he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to control material submissions when heard directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon-intended Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview, by checking the appropriate box(es) on the form, in most handwritten form using a ballpoint pen. Dismissals regarding only procedure matters are noted solely for the file requirements for an interview memorial, and of new, as provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or published by typographical process or direct ink script in Office action(s) or file(s), are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The decklet and summary cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant or attorney or agent at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant, correspondence address either by registered or certified mail or by first class mail. If additional interviews are conducted from the examination, it is unlikely before an allowance or other office action is dictated, the Form should be updated promptly after the telephone interview rather than wait the next status communication.

The following provides the recreation of the below information:

- Serial Number of the applicant  
Name of applicant  
Name of examiner  
Date of interview  
Type of interview (personal or telephone)  
Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney, agent, etc.)  
An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted  
An identification of the claims discussed  
An identification of the specific prior art discussed  
An indication whether an agreement was reached and, if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (provided by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed to, listing all watermarks "Agreement not to withdraw", and not to be used and destroyed, and further action by the examiner to be contrary.)  
The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview  
Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present

The form also contains a statement concerning the applicant's understanding of the requirements of the relevant

It is also evident that the examiner clearly remained the applicant of his or her position and the conduct of the interview. In each case, he or she both asked and answered questions that the examinee would not give. When the examinee is a parent, one of the participants of the interview is who is in a completely, grounded in the form of a participant to the interview, the examinee should mark a note at the bottom of the form, indicating the applicant that he needed support in the form of a form, forming a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary is only a guide to the content of the interview and is not a verbatim transcript of the interview. The summary is prepared by the interviewer and is subject to the same limitations as the interview itself. It is not a substitute for the original interview and should not be used as evidence in court.

Δ.  $\omega$  is left invariant on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\omega$  is invariant on  $\mathfrak{g}$  under the adjoint action of  $G$ . Then  $\omega$  is called a left-invariant metric on  $G$ .

- [illegible]

For example, an individual who is a U.S. citizen and who is a resident of the United States for tax purposes, but who is not a U.S. citizen for immigration purposes, is a "resident alien" for tax purposes. While the term "resident alien" is used in the Internal Revenue Code, it is not used in the Immigration and Naturalization Service's regulations. The term "lawful permanent resident" is used in the regulations to describe an individual who has been admitted to the United States to complete the permanent and the return available abandonment of the application of 22 CFR 1.135(c)(3).

### Exercises to Check for Accuracy

Applicant is requested to attach a page of the interview should be properly ordered to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an interview and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the examiner is allowed to hear the applicant at the interview, the applicant should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the second is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication (Interview referred Q&A) on the paper regarding this statement of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

